arXiv:1404.0064v1 [math.FA] 31 Mar 2014

ON THE BONGIORNO'S NOTION OF ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY

BEATA RANDRIANANTOANINA AND HUAQIANG XU

Abstract. We show that the classes of α-absolutely continuous functions in the sense of Bongiorno coincide for all $0 < \alpha < 1$.

1. Introduction

The classical Vitali's definition says that when $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, a function $f : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *absolutely continuous* if for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ so that for every finite collection of disjoint intervals $\{[a_i, b_i]\}_{i=1}^k \subset \Omega$ we have (where $\mathcal{L}^n$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$)

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{L}^1[a_i, b_i] < \delta \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k |f(a_i) - f(b_i)| < \varepsilon. \tag{1.1}$$

The study of the space of absolutely continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ and their generalizations to domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is connected to the problem of finding regular subclasses of Sobolev spaces which goes back to Cesari and Calderón [6, 5]. There are several natural ways of generalizing the definition of absolute continuity for functions of several variables (cf. [7, 10, 12, 1, 11, 9]).

Recently Bongiorno [2] introduced a generalization of absolute continuity, which is simultaneously similar to Arzelà's notion of bounded variation for functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$, cf. [7], and to Malý's notion of absolute continuity [11].

Definition 1.1. *(Bongiorno [2]) Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. A function $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^l$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open, is said to be α-absolutely continuous (denoted α-$AC^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l)$ or α-AC) if for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$, such that for any finite collection of disjoint α-regular intervals $\{[\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i] \subset \Omega\}_{i=1}^k$ we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{L}^n([\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i]) < \delta \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k |f(\mathbf{a}_i) - f(\mathbf{b}_i)|^n < \varepsilon. \tag{1.2}$$

Here, for $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^l$, $|\mathbf{a}|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of $\mathbf{a}$, and we say that an interval $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\mathbf{x} = (x_\nu)_{\nu=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon a_\nu \le x_\nu \le b_\nu, \nu = 1, \dots, n\}$ is

α-*regular* if

$$\frac{\mathcal{L}^n([\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}])}{(\max_\nu |a_\nu - b_\nu|)^n} \geq \alpha.$$

The goal of this paper is to prove that the classes α-AC coincide for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$. We show, however, that the choice of δ in (1.2) cannot be made uniformly for all $\alpha \in (0,1)$.

Our method uses the class 1-AC which was introduced in [8], see Definition 3.1 below.

2. Preliminaries

In 2002, Hencl [9] introduced the following class of absolutely continuous functions.

Definition 2.1. *(Hencl [9]) A function $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^l$ ($\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open) is said to be in $AC_H^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l)$ (briefly AC_H) if there exists $\lambda \in (0,1)$ (equivalently, for all $\lambda \in (0,1)$) so that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ so that for any finite collection of disjoint closed balls $\{B(\mathbf{x}_i, r_i) \subset \Omega\}_{i=1}^k$ we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{L}^n(B(\mathbf{x}_i, r_i)) < \delta \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k \operatorname{osc}^n(f, B(\mathbf{x}_i, \lambda r_i)) < \varepsilon.$$

Hencl proved that $AC_H \subset W^{1,n}_{loc}$ and that all functions in AC_H are differentiable a.e. and satisfy the Luzin (N) property and the change of variables formula.

Bongiorno [3] introduced a modification of the notion of α-absolute continuity in the spirit of Definition 2.1. To define it, we will use the following notation.

Given interval $[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}] \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote $|f([\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}])| = |f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x})|$, and for $0 < \lambda < 1$, we denote by ${}^\lambda[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}]$ the interval with center $(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})/2$ and sides of length $\lambda(y_\nu - x_\nu)$, $\nu = 1, \ldots, n$.

Definition 2.2. *(Bongiorno [3]) A function $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^l$ ($\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open) is said to be in α-$AC_H^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l)$ (briefly α-AC_H) if there exists $\lambda \in (0,1)$ (equivalently, for all $\lambda \in (0,1)$) so that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any finite collection of disjoint α-regular intervals $\{[\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i] \subset \Omega\}_{i=1}^k$ we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{L}^n([\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i]) < \delta \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k |f({}^\lambda[\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i])|^n < \varepsilon. \tag{2.1}$$

Bongiorno [3] proved that for all $0 < \alpha < 1$, the classes α-AC_H and AC_H coincide.

Theorem 2.3. *([3, Theorem 3]) For every $0 < \alpha < 1$,*

$$\alpha\text{-}AC_H^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l) = AC_H^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l).$$

3. The main result

In [8] we introduced an analog of Bongiorno's notion for $\alpha = 1$, which will be an important tool for the main result of this paper.

Definition 3.1. *We say that a function $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^l$ ($\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open) is 1-absolutely continuous, denoted 1-$AC^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l)$ or 1-AC, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$, such that for any finite collection of disjoint 1-regular intervals $\{[\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i] \subset \Omega\}_{i=1}^k$ we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathcal{L}^n([\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i]) < \delta \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{k} |f(\mathbf{a}_i) - f(\mathbf{b}_i)|^n < \varepsilon.$$

Note that for all $\alpha < 1$, every α-regular interval is also 1-regular. Thus for all $\alpha < 1$,

$$\alpha\text{-}AC \subseteq 1\text{-}AC.$$

Properties of the class 1-AC were studied in [8], where it was shown that it contains many pathological functions. In particular functions in 1-AC don't need to be continuous and even if they are differentiable a.e. they do not need to belong to the Sobolev space $W^{1,n}_{loc}$. However, the class 1-AC is very useful for characterizing the classes α-AC.

Theorem 3.2. *For all $0 < \alpha < 1$ we have*

$$\alpha\text{-}AC^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l) = 1\text{-}AC^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l) \cap AC_H^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l).$$

Proof. By definitions, α-$AC^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l) \subset 1$-$AC^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l)$, and by [2, Theorem 7], α-$AC^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l) \subset AC_H^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l)$, which proves that

$$\alpha\text{-}AC^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l) \subset 1\text{-}AC^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l) \cap AC_H^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l).$$

For the other direction, let $f \in 1\text{-}AC^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l) \cap AC_H^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\beta = (\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha})^n$ and $\lambda = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$. By Theorem 2.3, $f \in \beta\text{-}AC_H^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l)$ and there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ so that for each finite family of disjoint β-regular intervals $\{[\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i] \subset \Omega\}$

$$\sum_i \mathcal{L}^n([\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i]) < \delta_1 \Rightarrow \sum_i |f({}^{\lambda}[\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i])|^n < \frac{\varepsilon}{3^{n+1}}.$$

Since $f \in 1\text{-}AC^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l)$, there exists $\delta_2 > 0$ so that for each finite family of disjoint 1-regular intervals $\{[\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i] \subset \Omega\}$

$$\sum_i \mathcal{L}^n([\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i]) < \delta_2 \Rightarrow \sum_i |f([\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i])|^n < \frac{\varepsilon}{3^{n+1}}.$$

Let $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ and $\{[\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i] \subset \Omega\}$ be a finite family of disjoint α-regular intervals with $\sum_i \mathcal{L}^n([\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i]) < \delta$. We will show that $\sum_i |f([\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i])|^n < \varepsilon$.

For each $[\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i]$ from this family, let $\eta_i = \max\limits_{1\le\nu\le n}(b_{i\nu} - a_{i\nu})$. Since $[\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i]$ is an α-regular interval, we get that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{b_{ij}-a_{ij}}{\eta_i}\right) \ge \alpha.$$

Thus, for every $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\frac{b_{ij}-a_{ij}}{\eta_i} \ge \alpha.$$

Let

$$\mathbf{c}_i = \Big(a_{ij} + \frac{\alpha}{4}\eta_i\Big)_{j=1}^{n}, \quad \mathbf{d}_i = \Big(b_{ij} - \frac{\alpha}{4}\eta_i\Big)_{j=1}^{n}.$$

Then, for every $j = 1, \dots, n$, $c_{ij} < d_{ij}$, and for every i

$$\max_j(d_{ij} - c_{ij}) = \max_j\Big(b_{ij} - a_{ij} - \frac{\alpha}{2}\eta_i\Big) = \Big(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\Big)\eta_i.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathcal{L}^n([\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{d}_i])}{\max_j(d_{ij}-c_{ij})^n} &= \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{b_{ij}-a_{ij}-\frac{\alpha}{2}\eta_i}{\big(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\big)\eta_i}\right)\\ &\ge \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\alpha\eta_i-\frac{\alpha}{2}\eta_i}{\big(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\big)\eta_i}\right) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}\right)^n = \beta.\end{aligned}$$

Thus interval $[\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{d}_i]$ is β-regular.

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathbf{c}_i} &= \Big(\frac{a_{ij}+b_{ij}}{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda}\Big(\frac{a_{ij}+b_{ij}}{2} - a_{ij} - \frac{\alpha}{4}\eta_i\Big)\Big)_{j=1}^{n}\\ &= \Big(\frac{c_{ij}+d_{ij}}{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda}\Big(\frac{d_{ij}-c_{ij}}{2}\Big)\Big)_{j=1}^{n},\\ \overline{\mathbf{d}_i} &= \Big(\frac{a_{ij}+b_{ij}}{2} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\Big(b_{ij} - \frac{\alpha}{4}\eta_i - \frac{a_{ij}+b_{ij}}{2}\Big)\Big)_{j=1}^{n}\\ &= \Big(\frac{c_{ij}+d_{ij}}{2} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\Big(\frac{d_{ij}-c_{ij}}{2}\Big)\Big)_{j=1}^{n}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $[\overline{\mathbf{c}_i}, \overline{\mathbf{d}_i}] \subset [\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i]$, and

$$^{\lambda}[\overline{\mathbf{c}_i}, \overline{\mathbf{d}_i}] = [\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{d}_i],$$

$$|f(\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i)|^n \le 3^n\left[|f(\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{c}_i)|^n + |f(\mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{d}_i)|^n + |f(\mathbf{d}_i, \mathbf{b}_i)|^n\right],$$



$$\sum_i (\mathcal{L}^n[\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{c}_i] + \mathcal{L}^n[\mathbf{d}_i, \mathbf{b}_i]) < \sum_i \mathcal{L}^n[\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i] < \delta,$$

$$\sum_i \mathcal{L}^n[\overline{\mathbf{c}_i}, \overline{\mathbf{d}_i}] < \sum_i \mathcal{L}^n[\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i] < \delta.$$

Since the intervals $[\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{c}_i]$ and $[\mathbf{d}_i, \mathbf{b}_i]$ are 1-regular, and the intervals $[\overline{\mathbf{c}_i}, \overline{\mathbf{d}_i}]$ are β-regular we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_i |f(\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i)|^n \leq \\
&\quad 3^n \Big[\sum_i |f([\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{c}_i])|^n + \sum_i |f({}^{\lambda}[\overline{\mathbf{c}_i}, \overline{\mathbf{d}_i}])|^n + \sum_i |f([\mathbf{d}_i, \mathbf{b}_i])|^n \Big] \\
&\quad < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

□

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *For all $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ and all $n, l \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\alpha\text{-}AC^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l) = \beta\text{-}AC^{(n)}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l).$$

However the choice of $\delta > 0$ in (1.2) cannot be made uniformly for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. To prove the final statement, suppose that f is a function so that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$, such that for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and for any finite collection of disjoint α-regular intervals $\{[\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i] \subset \Omega\}_{i=1}^k$, the implication (1.2) holds. Note that every nontrivial interval in $\mathbb{R}^n$, i.e. an interval $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ such that $x_\nu < y_\nu$ for all $\nu = 1, \ldots, n$, is α-regular for

some $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Thus (1.2) holds for any finite collection of nontrivial intervals. By [8, Theorem 3.1] this implies that f is constant. $\square$

Remark 3.4. *In* [4] *Bongiorno introduced another generalization of absolute continuity, a class $AC^n_\Lambda(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$, briefly AC_Λ, which strictly contains the class AC_H, and such that all functions in AC_Λ are differentiable a.e. and satisfy the Luzin (N) property, but $AC^n_\Lambda(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l) \not\subset W^{1,n}_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^l)$. It would be interesting to determine what are the classes 1-$AC \cap AC_\Lambda$ and 1-$AC \cap AC_\Lambda \cap W^{1,n}_{loc}$ (since, by* [8], 1-$AC \not\subset W^{1,n}_{loc}$*).*

References

[1] D. Apatsidis, S. A. Argyros, and V. Kanellopoulos, *Hausdorff measures and functions of bounded quadratic variation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 363 (2011), pp. 4225–4262.

[2] D. Bongiorno, *Absolutely continuous functions in $\mathbb{R}^n$*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 303 (2005), pp. 119–134.

[3] D. Bongiorno, *On the Hencl's notion of absolute continuity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 350 (2009), pp. 562–567.

[4] D. Bongiorno, *On the problem of regularity in the Sobolev space $W^{1,n}_{\rm loc}$*, Topology Appl., 156 (2009), pp. 2986–2995.

[5] A. P. Calderón, *On the differentiability of absolutely continuous functions*, Rivista Mat. Univ. Parma, 2 (1951), pp. 203–213.

[6] L. Cesari, *Sulle funzioni assolutamente continue in due variabili*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Super. Pisa (2), 10 (1941), pp. 91–101.

[7] J. A. Clarkson and C. R. Adams, *On definitions of bounded variation for functions of two variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 35 (1933), pp. 824–854.

[8] M. Dymond, B. Randrianantoanina and H. Xu, *On interval based generalizations of absolute continuity for functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$*, preprint.

[9] S. Hencl, *On the notions of absolute continuity for functions of several variables*, Fund. Math., 173 (2002), pp. 175–189.

[10] E. W. Hobson, *The theory of functions of a real variable and the theory of Fourier's series. Vol. I*, Dover Publications Inc., New York, N.Y., 1958.

[11] J. Malý, *Absolutely continuous functions of several variables*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 231 (1999), pp. 492–508.

[12] T. Rado and P. V. Reichelderfer, *Continuous transformations in analysis. With an introduction to algebraic topology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1955.

Department of Mathematics, Miami University, Oxford, OH 45056, USA

E-mail address: randrib@miamioh.edu

Department of Mathematics, Miami University, Oxford, OH 45056, USA

E-mail address: xuhuaqiang1990@gmail.com